0

# NGI ONTO CHAIN

Blockchain for the Next Generation Internet

### **ONTOCHAIN**

hi

07/04/2023





Grant Agreement No.: 957338 Call: H2020-ICT-2020-1

Topic: ICT-54-2020 Type of action: RIA

# D4.2 ONTOCHAIN FOUNDATIONS TECHNICAL PROPOSAL **TECHNICAL RESULTS AND SUGGESTIONS**

WORK PACKAGE	WP4
TASK	T4.2
DUE DATE	Initial 31/08/2022 but granted extension till 30/03/2023
SUBMISSION DATE	07/04/2023
DELIVERABLE LEAD	IntelliSemantic
VERSION	1.4
AUTHORS	Alberto Ciaramella (IS), Marco Ciaramella (IS)
REVIEWERS	Thanasis Papaioanoou (AUEB), Petar Kochovski (UL)
ABSTRACT	This is a report which integrates a demonstrator, i.e. the GitHub repository in which ONTOCHAIN third parties deliver they code. This report facilitates the navigation on the repository GitHub. Moreover this report defines guidelines and requirements for third parties when contributing to the GitHub repository.
KEYWORDS	blockchain software, blockchain design, blockchain imple- mentation, blockchain testing, blockchain documentation





EUROPEAN DENAMICS





#### **Document Revision History**

0.1	15/06/2022	Template setup	A. Ciaramella (IS)
0.2	07/10/2022	Initial internal review	M. Ciaramella (IS), A. Ciaramella (IS)
0.3	10/01/2023	Addressing the internal review	M. Ciaramella (IS), A. Ciaramella (IS)
0.4	14/02/2023	Contributions	M.Ciaramella (IS), A. Ciaramella (IS)
0.5	24/02/2023	Contributions	M.Ciaramella (IS), A. Ciaramella (IS)
1.0	24/03/2023	Final release for internal review	M.Ciaramella (IS), A. Ciaramella (IS)
1.1	05/04/2023	Addressing internal review comments	T.Papaioannou (AUEB)
1.2	07/04/2023	Addressing internal review comments	P. Kochcovski (UL)
1.3	07/04/2023	Final review	M.Ciaramella (IS), A. Ciaramella (IS)
1.4	07/04/2023	Final review and submission	C. Barelle (ED)













#### **Dissemination Level**

Natu	ure of the deliverable:	PU
PU	Public, fully open, e.g., web	
CL	Classified, information as referred to	in Commission Decision 2001/844/EC
CO	Confidential to ONTOCHAIN project	and Commission Services

#### DISCLAIMER

The information, documentation and figures available in this deliverable are written by the "Trusted, traceable and transparent ontological knowledge on blockchain — ON-TOCHAIN " project's consortium under EC grant agreement 957338, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Commission. Neither the European Union institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein. The information in this document is provided "as is" and no guarantee or warranty is given that the information is fit for any particular purpose. The user thereof uses the information at its sole risk and liability. Moreover, it is clearly stated that the ONTOCHAIN Consortium reserves the right to update, amend or modify any part, section or detail of the document at any point in time without prior information.

The ONTOCHAIN project is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under grant agreement no. 957338.

#### **COPYRIGHT NOTICE**

#### © 2020 ONTOCHAIN

This document may contain material that is copyrighted of certain ONTOCHAIN beneficiaries and may not be reused or adapted without permission. All ONTOCHAIN Consortium partners have agreed to the full publication of this document. The commercial use of any information contained in this document may require a license from the proprietor of that information. Reproduction for non-commercial use is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

The ONTOCHAIN Consortium is the following:











Participant number	Participant organisation name	Short name	Country
1	EUROPEAN DYNAMICS LUXEMBOURG SA	ED	LU
2	UNIVERZA V LJUBLJANI	UL	SI
3	IEXEC BLOCKCHAIN TECH	IEXEC	FR
4	INTELLISEMANTIC SRL	IS	IT
5	ATHENS UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS – RESEARCH CENTER	AUEB	EL
6	ELLINOGERMANIKO EMPORIKO & VIOMICHANIKO EPIMELITIRIO	GHCCI	EL
7	F6S NETWORK LIMITED	F6S	IE













# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This deliverable integrates the information included in the ONTOCHAIN repository GitHub in which ONTOCHAIN third parties deliver their results, and has also objective to facilitate the navigation in this repository.

This deliverable summarizes also the guidelines for third parties when contributing to ONTOCHAIN. These guidelines emerged from best practices experimented in the call 1 and 2 and include design, coding, testing and documentation of the solution developed.







iExec









# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE	OF CONTENTS	7
LIST O	F FIGURES	8
LIST O	F TABLES	9
1	INTRODUCTION	11
2	THIRD PARTY PROJECTS OVERVIEW	11
3	BEST PRACTICES	15
3.1	Technical documentation	15
3.2	Recommended activities	17
4	CONCLUSIONS	18
REFER	RENCES	19

















# **LIST OF FIGURES**

FIGURE 1: DIAGRAM OF ONTOCHAIN FUNCTIONAL ARCHITECTURE...... 12















# **LIST OF TABLES**

TABLE 1: OC1 and OC2 projects in summary	
--	--















## **ABBREVIATIONS**

ABI	Application Binary Interface				
AI	Artificial Intelligence				
ΑΡΙ	Application Programming Interface				
DAO	Decentralised Autonomous Organisation				
DID	Decentralized Identifier				
DLTs	Distributed Ledger Technologies				
EVM	Ethereum Virtual Machine				
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation				
ΙοΤ	Internet of Things				
ML	Machine Learning				
NFT	Non Fungible Token				
NGI	Next Generation Internet				
0C1	ONTOCHAIN Call 1				
OC2	ONTOCHAIN Call 2				
0C3	ONTOCHAIN Call 3				
OWL	Web Ontology Language				
RDF	Resource Description Framework				
SGX	Software Guard Extensions				
SSI	Self sovereign identity				
W3C	World Wide Web Consortium				

EUROPEAN DENAMICS









### **1** INTRODUCTION

The project ONTOCHAIN so far has concluded the first two calls, OC1 in the first year, and OC2 in the second year, whose objective was to deliver the code of third party projects. This code is now available on the ONTOCHAIN GitHub repository<sup>1</sup>.

This deliverable complements the information delivered in GitHub by Call 1 and Call 2 participants, with the objective of facilitating the reuse of this code for those interested to integrate them in their applications. Moreover, this deliverable identifies some guidelines to be suggested to Open Call 3 participants. These guidelines emerged also from best practices used by third party projects in Call 1 and in Call 2.

Other complementary information about Open Call 1 and Open Call 2 projects and not in this deliverable are available in other ONTOCHAIN deliverables, as:

- The deliverable D3.5 [1] provides updated specification of the ONTOCHAIN framework and architecture including components developed by third parties during Open Call 1 and Open Call 2 and the specification of the ONTOCHAIN pilot deployment which will be used to evaluate the project results.
- The deliverable D4.4 [2] describes the demonstration outcomes of different projects developed by third parties in the Open Call 2.
- The deliverable D4.6 [3] presents the technical evaluation of software developed in the first two years of the project, in the Open Call 1 and in the Open Call 2, including deployment instructions, evaluation methodology, instructions for evaluation and evaluation results.
- The deliverable D4.8 [4] presents the impact of the 13 projects selected in the ON-TOCHAIN Open Call 2 and summarizes keys results, the innovation, possibles evolution and most relevant KPIs achieved for each project.

A new updated deliverable of this document will be released at the end of the project.

### 2 THIRD PARTY PROJECTS OVERVIEW

The project ONTOCHAIN so far has concluded the first 2 calls, OC1 in the first year, and OC2 in the second year, whose objective was to deliver the software developed by the third parties.

The whole architecture of the project ONTOCHAIN[5] is summarized in Figure 1, which



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/



identifies the main components of the architecture as applications, ontologies, distributed ledger, core protocols, application protocols.

This block diagram summarizes also the specific Open Call in which a technology or an application has been developed. Typically the Call 3 will be devoted to applications, whilst the Call 1 and Call 2 were mostly related to technologies[6].



#### FIGURE 1: DIAGRAM OF ONTOCHAIN FUNCTIONAL ARCHITECTURE

Third party projects have delivered their code in the GitHub ONTOCHAIN private repository<sup>2</sup>, which includes a directory from which codes of the different projects can be accessed.

OC1 and OC2 third party project can be further distinguished into long and short projects. OC1 short projects were asked to deliver only a feasibility study, not code.

The GitHub folder of the ONTOCHAIN project includes also the code of BELLECOUR, developed by the ONTOCHAIN consortium partner iExec. The BELLECOUR sidechain is part of the iExec blockchain infrastructure: it consists of a EVM-compatible private sidechain linked to Ethereum Mainnet with a bridge<sup>3</sup>. The BELLECOUR sidechain is composed from different kinds of nodes (full, validator). BELLECOUR hosts the iExec workerpools, which are able to execute the Dapps, included the Trusted-

EUROPEAN DANAMICS



눩 iExec



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> iExec Glossary, https://docs.iex.ec/help/glossary, accessed: 22 Feb 2023



Execution-Environment applications<sup>4</sup>. BELLECOUR will act as pilot infrastructure of ONTOCHAIN<sup>5</sup>.

The following Table 1 summarizes the content of the ONTOCHAIN repository. This table is structured into the following columns; 1) project acronym 2) project year or partner (OC1 or OC2 or iExec) 3) kind of project(long or short) 4) direct link to the code in the ONTOCHAIN repository. In most cases the link to specific projects should be easy to identify by applying the rule https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/<project-name>. In any case it has been preferred to remind the whole address in the 4th column of Table 1, as a) in some projects the name used in GitHub is different from the project name or b) some projects used more than a single directory as DESMO and PRINGO<sup>6</sup>.

The GitHub content of OC1 short projects is empty, since these projects were required only to deliver their status of the art and feasibility study, not to deliver code. However, the GitHub repository includes also OC3 projects, which in any case are not mentioned in this deliverable, as their code has not been delivered yet.

Acronym	Open Call 1 or Open Call 2	long or short	Address
ADOS	OC2	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/ADOS
BELLECOUR	partner iExec	N.a.	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/ bellecour-node-deployer
BOWLER	OC2	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/BOWLER
CARECHAIN	OC2	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/CARECHAIN
Copyrightly	OC1	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/COPYRIGHTLY
DART	OC1	short	hhttps://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/DART

#### TABLE 1: OC1 and OC2 projects in summary









<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>"V7 Bellecour - Sgx Production", iExec workerpools, https://pools.iex.ec/pool/ iexecblockchaincomputing/v7\_bellecour\_-\_sgx\_production, accessed: 22 Feb 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>"iExec to provide Pilot Infrastructure for ONTOCHAIN", Jun 15, 2022, https://medium.com/iex-ec/ iexec-to-provide-pilot-infrastructure-for-ontochain-1bd19bc0b981, accessed: 22 Feb 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>more specifically the project DESMO uses a main directory with different subdirectories, whilst the project PRINGO uses 3 directory at the same level.



Acronym	OC1 or OC2	long or short	Address
DESMO-LD	OC2	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/desmo <sup>7</sup> .
DW MARKING	OC1	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/DW-MARKING
GEONTOLOGY	OC2	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/GEONTOLOGY
GIMLY	OC1	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/ONTOSSiVault_all
GRAPHCHAIN	OC1	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/GRAPHCHAIN
НІВІ	OC1	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/DR-HIBI
ISLAND	OC1	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/ISLAND
KnowledgeX	OC1	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/KNOWLEDGEX
КИМО	OC1	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/KUMO
LCDP-ONT-APP	OC1	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/LCDP-ONT-APP
MFSSIA	OC2	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/MFSSIA
NFTWATCH	OC2	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/NFTWATCH
ONTOROPA	OC1	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/ONTOROPA
ONTOSPACE	OC2	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/ONTOSPACE
OriginTrail DKG	OC2	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/DKG
PERUNX	OC2	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/PXC
PiSwap	OC2	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/NFTSWAP
POC4COMMERCE	OC1	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/POC4COMMERCE
PRINGO	OC2	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/PRINGO-sdk https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/PRINGO-backend https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/PRINGO-frontend <sup>8</sup>
PS-SDA	OC2	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/PS-SDA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>This directory refers to the following directories: desmo-sdk (https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/desmo-sdk), desmo-front (https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/desmo-front), desmo-dapp (https://github.com/ ONTOCHAIN/desmo-dapp), desmo-contracts (https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/desmo-contracts), zion (https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/zion).

EUROPEAN DEVAMICS







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>In this project PRINGO, all directories are independent and at the same level



Acronym	OC1 or OC2	long or short	Address
REPUTABLE	OC1	long	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/REPUTABLE
SEIP	OC1	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/SEIP
SOLID-VERIF	OC1	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/SOLID-VERIF
TENACIOUS	OC1	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/TENACIOUS
UNIPRODAPI	OC1	short	https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/UNIPRODAPI

### **3 BEST PRACTICES**

These recommendations have been distilled from our coaching experience with the OC1 and OC2 teams and can now be proposed to OC3 participants.

### 3.1 TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION

The GitHub directory of a third party project will include the following text files :

- the README.md file (mandatory), whose suggested format will be detailed in the following;
- the LICENSE file (mandatory)<sup>910</sup>;
- the CHANGELOG file (suggested)<sup>11</sup>.

The suggested format for the README.md file is the following:

TAN DAVAMICS

- o key info
  - o acronym;
  - o extended title;







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Without this file, the license does not appear in metadata

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>As done for example in the project BELLECOUR node (https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/ bellecour-node-deployer/blob/master/LICENSE).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>As done for example in the project DESMO (https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/zion/blob/main/CHANGELOG. md).



- o logo (optional)<sup>12</sup>;
- o maintenance: to specify if the repository is maintained or if updates are maintained in a different repository;
- o link to the repository in which the current version is maintained, if this situation applies;
- o functional overview, with few sentences; for more information add a link to the specific page which details the project on the ONTOCHAIN site;
- o prerequisites of the execution of code, as the operating system and its version, languages and versions, libraries and versions.
- o implementation
  - o minimum system requirement;
  - o architecture;
  - o main innovation;
  - APIs with a quick functional overview and a link to SWAGGER<sup>13</sup> for more detailed information. Here is example of a REST API detailed description in SWAGGER<sup>14</sup>;
  - o ontologies;
  - o repository structure, with a description of the content of the repository, to facilitate the navigation.
- o how to
  - o compile;
  - o run;
  - o test.
- o contacts and acknowledgment
  - o contacts;
  - o developer team (optional, but suggested);
  - o funding, with reference to the ONTOCHAIN funding (this info is strictly

UROPEAN DANAMICS



눩 iExec





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>As done for example in the project PiSwap (https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN/NFTSWAP).

<sup>13</sup>https://swagger.io/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>https://app.swaggerhub.com/apis/CTORRALBA/GEONTOLOGY\_ORCHESTRATOR/1.0.0



mandatory).

It is suggested as a best practice to initialize the README file since the beginning of the project and to update it as the project evolves in order to document the progress of activities and to facilitate the final release of the documentation.

### 3.2 RECOMMENDED ACTIVITIES

To have a more reliable and more maintainable code it is recommended not to overlook these activities:

- o project management documentation;
- o automatic code documentation;
- o static code analysis;
- o coverage analysis and documentation;
- o continuous deployment and test.

These activities can be carried out with different tools: it is up to third parties the final decision about specific tools to use, also on the basis of their background and experience.

In any case, a preferred best practice is to rely first on Github integrated features, as the **project management documentation**, as done by CopyrightLY<sup>15</sup> and **continuous deployment**<sup>16</sup>.

The **static code analysis** can be typically tuned according to different level of accuracy suitable for the appropriate context. An example of such analyzer is the Swagger analyzer/validator for which parses the api code giving a first level check for static errors in such code<sup>17</sup>.

Moreover, as an example of **code documentation**, included APIs, we can mention the project ECOS, which used Docusaurus<sup>18</sup>, and Mkdocs<sup>19</sup> and moreover Redocly<sup>20</sup>) for API documentation.





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>https://github.com/rhizomik/copyrightly/projects/1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>https://docs.github.com/en/actions/deployment/about-deployments/about-continuous-deployment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>https://apitools.dev/swagger-parser/docs/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>https://docusaurus.io/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>https://www.mkdocs.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>https://github.com/Redocly/redoc



### 4 **CONCLUSIONS**

The project ONTOCHAIN in the first two calls so far concluded has selected and coached 30 technology projects, framed into the ONTOCHAIN architecture, whose results are presently available on the ONTOCHAIN GitHub repository, at https://github.com/ONTOCHAIN

The best practices emerging from the coaching activities so far, as in the project and software documentation and in continuous testing and deployment, have to be followed and even improved also in the present call and in eventual future extensions in order to have easer to reuse and maintain solutions.















### REFERENCES

- Souvik Sengupta et al. D3.5 ONTOCHAIN FRAMEWORK SPECIFICATION 2 (ON-[1] TOCHAIN project). Nov. 2022.
- [2] Souvik Sengupta and Anthony Simonet-Boulogne. D4.4 FOUNDATIONS AND APPLICATION EXPERIMENTS IMPLEMENTATION RESULTS (ONTOCHAIN project). Sept. 2022.
- [3] Souvik Sengupta and Anthony Simonet-Boulogne. D4.6 ONTOCHAIN APPLICA-TIONS AND FRAMEWORK EVALUATION (ONTOCHAIN project).
- [4] Souvik Sengupta, Anthony Simonet-Boulogne, and Ambre Toulemonde. D4.8 Impact creation of ONTOCHAIN Foundations (Open Call 2), ONTOCHAIN project.
- [5] Thanasis G Papaioannou et al. "A New Blockchain Ecosystem for Trusted, Traceable and Transparent Ontological Knowledge Management: Position Paper". In: Economics of Grids, Clouds, Systems, and Services: 18th International Conference, GECON 2021, Virtual Event, September 21–23, 2021, Proceedings 18. Springer. 2021, pp. 93–105.
- [6] Thanasis G Papaioannou et al. A Blockchain-based, Semantically-enriched Software Framework for Trustworthy Decentralized Applications. online. Accessed on 6 April 2023. 2022. URL: https://ontochain.ngi.eu/sites/default/files/resources/ Ontochain-preliminary-short-technical-white-paper.pdf.









